Quantum correlation induced by the average distance between the reduced states*

Yu Guo,[1] Xiulan Li,[1] Bo Li,[2] and Heng Fan[3]

[1]*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shanxi Datong University, Datong 037009, China*
[2]*Department of Mathematics and Computer, Shangrao Normal University, Shangrao 334001, China*
[3]*Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

A new quantum correlation in terms of the average distance between the reduced state and the i-th output reduced states under local von Neumann measurements is proposed. It is shown that only the product states do not contain this quantum correlation and thus it is different from both the quantum discord (QD) [Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 017901 (2001)] and the measurement-induced nonlocality (MIN) [Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 120401(2011)]. For pure states, it is twice of the quantity MIN, and is smaller than QD and entanglement of formation (EOF). A general analytical formula is given and a lower bound for the two qubits case is obtained. Furthermore, we compare it with EOF and QD through the Werner state and the isotropic state respectively.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Yz.

arXiv:1411.0888v1 [quant-ph] 4 Nov 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum correlation not only is the key to our understanding of quantum world but also is essential for the powerful applications of quantum information and quantum computation. Entanglement lies at the heart of this field [1, 2] but it does not account for all possible quantum correlations contained in a bipartite system. There are quantum correlations beyond entanglement, such as QD [3], MIN [4], measurement-induced disturbance (MID) [5, 6] and measure of nonclassical correlation in coherence-vector representation [7], etc. QD has been proposed as the resource in quantum computation [8] and the Gaussian QD has beeen applied to quantum key distribution [9]. It is indicated in [4] that MIN may be useful in quantum cryptography, general quantum dense coding [10], remote state control [11], etc.

It is worth mentioning that, QD, MID and MIN are established by local measurement. In addition, the use of averaged quantity in identifying quantum correlation has been used for instance in quantifying entanglement for multipartite quantum systems [12, 13]. In this paper, we propose a new quantum correlation measured by the averaged quantity based on local von Neumann measurements from a different point of view. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the definition of our quantum correlation. Then Section 3 discusses the nullity of the our measure, it is showen that all quantum states except the product one contain this kind of quantum correlation (Theorem 1). Some numerical results are given in Section 4 (Propositions 2-4), and in Section 5, three examples, i.e., the Bell-diagonal state, the Werner state and the isotropic state are proposed and we compare our measure with QD and EOF through the Werner state and the isotropic state. At last we conclude in Section 6.

*Dedicated to Prof. Jinchuan Hou, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

II. DEFINITION

Let $H_a \otimes H_b$ with $\dim H_a = m$ and $\dim H_b = n \geq m$ be the state space of the bipartite system A+B. We now define

$$Q(\rho) := \sup_{\Pi^a} \sum_k p_k \|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(k)}\|_2^2, \tag{1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ stands for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm (that is, $\|A\|_2 = [\mathrm{Tr}(A^\dagger A)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$), the supremum is taken over all local von Neumann measurements $\Pi^a = \{\Pi_k^a\}$ (on the subsystem A), $\rho_b^{(k)} = \frac{1}{p_k}\mathrm{Tr}_a(\Pi_k^a \otimes I_b)\rho(\Pi_k^a \otimes I_b)$, $p_k = \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi_k^a \otimes I_b)\rho(\Pi_k^a \otimes I_b)$, $\rho_b = \mathrm{Tr}_a(\rho)$ is the reduced state of ρ and I_b is the identity map on part B.

$Q(\rho)$ denotes the maximum 'mean distance' between the local state ρ_b and the output local states $\rho_b^{(k)}$ with respect to the local measurement element Π^a. This quantity can be measured only by local part after the local von Neumann measurements of another part. $Q(\rho) > 0$ means that if part A performs a measurement, then the local state of part B will change. Therefore Q define a quantum correlation since it cannot occurs in the classical world. By the definition, it is obvious that Q is invariant under local unitary operation, i.e., $Q(U_a \otimes U_b \rho U_a \otimes U_b) = Q(\rho)$ holds for any unitary operator U_a (resp. U_b) acting on H_a (resp. H_b).

III. THE NULLITY OF Q

Theorem 1 *$Q(\rho) = 0$ if and only if ρ is a product state.*

Proof We only need to check the 'only if' part. If $Q(\rho) = 0$, then for any local von Neumann measurement $\Pi^a = \{\Pi_k^a\}$, we have $\rho_b^{(k)} = \rho_b$ for any k. Let $\{|i\rangle\}$ and $\{|j'\rangle\}$ be the orthonormal bases of H_a and H_b, respectively. Write $E_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$. Then any bipartite state ρ can be written as

$$\rho = \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} \otimes B_{ij},$$

where B_{ij}s are operators acting on H_b. It follows that $B_{ii} \propto \rho_b$. We show that $B_{ij} \propto \rho_b$ for any i and j, which guarantees that ρ is a product state. With no loss of generality, we consider B_{12}. Let $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)$ and $|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle + i|2\rangle)$. It turns out that the reduced states of the output states under the local measurements $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ and $|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ are

$$\frac{1}{2}(B_{11} + B_{22} + B_{12} + B_{21})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(B_{11} + B_{22} + iB_{12} - iB_{21}),$$

respectively. This leads to $B_{12} + B_{21} \propto \rho_b$ and $B_{12} - B_{21} \propto \rho_b$, which reveals that $B_{12} \propto \rho_b$ and $B_{21} \propto \rho_b$. Therefore ρ is a product state. □

Let $\rho = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \otimes E'_{ij}$, where $E'_{ij} = |i'\rangle\langle j'|$. It is known that ρ does not contain QD if and only if A_{ij}s are mutually commuting normal operators, and it does not contain MIN if and only if A_{ij}s are mutually commuting normal operators and each eigenspace of ρ_a is contained in some eigenspace of A_{ij} for all i and j [14]. Thus a state that does not contains QD or MIN may contains Q.

IV. NUMERICAL STUDY

A. Pure state

Let $|\psi\rangle$ be a pure state with Schmidt decomposition $|\psi\rangle = \sum_k \lambda_k |k\rangle|k'\rangle$. Let $\Pi^a = \{|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|\}$ be a von Neumann measurement on part A. Write $\langle e_i|k\rangle = \alpha_{ik}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_i &= |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \otimes I_b(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \otimes I_b \\
&= \sum_{k,l} \lambda_k\lambda_l |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|k\rangle\langle l|e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \otimes |k'\rangle\langle l'| \\
&= |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \otimes (\sum_{k,l} \lambda_k\lambda_l\alpha_{ik}\bar{\alpha}_{il}|k'\rangle\langle l'|) \\
&= p_i|e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \otimes |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| = p_i|e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \otimes \rho_b^{(i)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\psi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{p_i}\sum_k \lambda_k\alpha_{ik}|k'\rangle$, $p_i = \|\sum_k \lambda_k\alpha_{ik}|k'\rangle\|^2 = \sum_k \lambda_k^2|\alpha_{ik}|^2$. It is straightforward that

$$\|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(i)}\|_2^2 = 2 - \frac{2}{p_i}|\sum_k \alpha_{ik}\lambda_k^2|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\rho) &= \sup_{\Pi^a} \sum_i p_i(2 - \frac{2}{p_i}|\sum_k \alpha_{ik}\lambda_k^2|^2) \\
&= 2 - 2\inf_{\Pi^a} \sum_i |\sum_k \alpha_{ik}\lambda_k^2|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that the Schmidt rank of $|\psi\rangle$ is r. Let $A = [\alpha_{ik}]$, $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le j \le r$, and let $|\lambda\rangle = (\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2, \ldots, \lambda_r^2)^t$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i |\sum_k \alpha_{ik}\lambda_k^2|^2 &= \|A|\lambda\rangle\|^2 = \langle\lambda|A^\dagger A|\lambda\rangle \\
&= \langle\lambda|\lambda\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i^4.
\end{aligned}$$

We now get the following result.

Proposition 2 *Let $|\psi\rangle$ be a pure state with Schmidt coefficients $\{\lambda_i\}$ and Schmidt rank r. Then*

$$Q(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = 2(1 - \sum_i \lambda_i^4) \le \frac{2(r-1)}{r}, \tag{2}$$

and the equation holds if and only if $|\psi\rangle$ is maximally entangled.

Let $N(\rho)$ denotes MIN of ρ respectively. Then $N(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = 1 - \sum_i \lambda_i^4$ [4]. That is $Q(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = 2N(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$. The quantum discord of $|\psi\rangle$, $D(|\psi\rangle)$, which coincides with the entanglement of formation E, is calculated as $D(|\psi\rangle) = E(|\psi\rangle) = -\sum_i \lambda_i^2 \log_2 \lambda_i^2$. Note that, for any λ_i, $\log_2 \lambda_i^2 - 2\lambda_i^2 \le -2$, we have

$$Q(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \le D(|\psi\rangle) = E(|\psi\rangle). \tag{3}$$

B. General case

Let $\rho = \sum_i \delta_i E_i \otimes F_i$ be the operator Schmidt decomposition of ρ when ρ is viewed as a vector in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}(H_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H_b)$ with the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product $\langle X|Y\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(X^\dagger Y)$. Let $\mathrm{Tr}(E_i) = \beta_i$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(F_i) = \gamma_i$. Let $\{|k\rangle\}$ be an orthonormal basis of H_a. Then $\rho_b = \sum_i \delta_i\beta_i F_i$, $p_k\rho_b^{(k)} = \sum_i \delta_i\alpha_{ki}F_i$, where

$$\alpha_{ki} = \langle k|E_i|k\rangle. \tag{4}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_k p_k\|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(k)}\|_2^2 = \sum_k p_k\|\sum_i \delta_i(\beta_i - \frac{\alpha_{ki}}{p_k})F_i\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_k p_k(\sum_i \delta_i^2(\beta_i - \frac{\alpha_{ki}}{p_k})^2) = \sum_i \delta_i^2(\sum_k \frac{\alpha_{ki}^2}{p_k} - \beta_i^2).
\end{aligned}$$

With the notations defined as above, we get the following theorem.

Proposition 3 *Let ρ be a bipartite state with operator Schmidt coefficients $\{\delta_i\}$, $T = [\alpha_{ki}]$ with α_{ki} defined as in Eq. (4). Then*

$$Q(\rho) = \sup_T \sum_i \delta_i^2(\sum_k \frac{\alpha_{ki}^2}{p_k} - \beta_i^2), \tag{5}$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible matrices $T = [\alpha_{ki}]$.

C. A lower bound for the two qubits case

We now give a lower bound of the quantity Q for the two qubits case. Any two qubits state can be represented as

$$\tau = \frac{1}{4}(I_a \otimes I_b + \vec{u}\vec{\sigma} \otimes I_b + I_a \otimes \vec{v}\vec{\sigma} + \sum_{k,l=1}^{3} w_{kl}\sigma_k \otimes \sigma_l), \quad (6)$$

where $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are the Pauli matrices, $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$, $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, w_{kl} are real numbers, $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$, and $\vec{u}\vec{\sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i\sigma_i$, etc. In fact, ρ is locally unitary equivalent to [15, 16]

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4}(I_a \otimes I_b + \vec{a}\vec{\sigma} \otimes I_b + I_a \otimes \vec{b}\vec{\sigma} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_j\sigma_j \otimes \sigma_j), \quad (7)$$

where c_js are real numbers. Namely, there exists 2×2 unitary matrices U_a and U_b such that $\rho = U_a \otimes U_b \tau U_a^\dagger \otimes O_b^\dagger$. That is, the state with the form in Eq. (7) is enough when we discuss the quantum correlation Q for the two qubits case since any quantum correlation is invariance under the local unitary operation. Let $\Pi^a = \{\Pi_1^a, \Pi_2^a\}$, $\langle k|\sigma_i|k\rangle = \alpha_{ik}$, $k = 0, 1$. Then $p_k\rho_b^{(k)} = \frac{1}{4}(I_b + \sum_i a_i\alpha_{ik}I_b + \vec{b}\vec{\sigma} + \sum_i c_i\alpha_{ik}\sigma_i)$, $p_k = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sum_i a_i\alpha_{ik})$. Let $t_k = \frac{1}{1+\sum_i a_i\alpha_{ik}}$. It turns out that

$$\|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(k)}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i |(1-t_k)b_i - t_k c_i \alpha_{ik}|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_k p_k \|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(k)}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_k \frac{1}{t_k}\sum_i |(1-t_k)b_i - t_k c_i\alpha_{ik}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Let $\Pi_1^a = |0\rangle\langle 0|$, $\Pi_2^a = |1\rangle\langle 1|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &:= \sum_k p_k \|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(k)}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1+a_1}{2}(|(1-\frac{1}{1+a_1})b_1 - \frac{c_1}{1+a_1}|^2 \\ &\quad +|(1-\frac{1}{1+a_1})b_2|^2 + |(1-\frac{1}{1+a_1})b_3|^2) \\ &\quad +\frac{1-a_1}{2}(|(1-\frac{1}{1-a_1})b_1 + \frac{c_1}{1-a_1}|^2 \\ &\quad +|(1-\frac{1}{1-a_1})b_2|^2 + |(1-\frac{1}{1-a_1})b_3|^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $\Pi_1^a = |e_0\rangle\langle e_0|$, $\Pi_2^a = |e_1\rangle\langle e_1|$ with $|e_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$, $|e_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &:= \sum_k p_k \|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(k)}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1+a_2}{2}(|(1-\frac{1}{1+a_2})b_1|^2 \\ &\quad +|(1-\frac{1}{1+a_2})b_2 - \frac{c_2}{1+a_2}|^2 + |(1-\frac{1}{1+a_2})b_3|^2) \\ &\quad +\frac{1-a_2}{2}(|(1-\frac{1}{1-a_2})b_1|^2 + |(1-\frac{1}{1-a_2})b_2 \\ &\quad +\frac{c_2}{1-a_2}|^2 + |(1-\frac{1}{1-a_2})b_3|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\Pi_1^a = |f_0\rangle\langle f_0|$, $\Pi_2^a = |f_1\rangle\langle f_1|$ with $|f_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$, $|f_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3 &:= \sum_k p_k \|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(k)}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1+a_3}{2}(|(1-\frac{1}{1+a_3})b_1|^2 + |(1-\frac{1}{1+a_3})b_2|^2 \\ &\quad +|(1-\frac{1}{1+a_3})b_3 - \frac{c_3}{1+a_3}|^2) \\ &\quad +\frac{1-a_2}{2}(|(1-\frac{1}{1-a_3})b_1|^2 + |(1-\frac{1}{1-a_3})b_2|^2 \\ &\quad +|(1-\frac{1}{1-a_3})b_3 + \frac{c_3}{1-a_3}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

($\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, $\{|e_0\rangle, |e_1\rangle\}$ and $\{|f_0\rangle, |f_1\rangle\}$ are the eigenvectors of σ_3, σ_1 and σ_2, respectively.) We now can conclude the following.

Proposition 4 *Let $\gamma = \max\{\gamma_i : i = 1, 2, 3\}$, where γ_is are defined as above, then*

$$Q(\rho) \geq \gamma. \quad (9)$$

V. EXAMPLES

In general, Q is hard to calculate for mixed states due to the supremum program. However, it is easy for some special well-known states below.

A. The two-qubit Bell-diagonal state

We consider the two-qubit Bell-diagonal state

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4}(I_a \otimes I_b + \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i), \quad (10)$$

where c_is are real numbers, σ_is are Pauli matrices, i.e., $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\sigma_3 =$



FIG. 1: (color online). D, E and Q in Werner state of various dimensions with (a) $m = 2$,(b) $m = 3$,(c) $m = 4$,(d) $m = 10$.



FIG. 2: (color online). D, E and Q in isotropic state of various dimensions with (a) $m = 2$,(b) $m = 3$,(c) $m = 4$,(d) $m = 20$.

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $c := \max\{|c_1|, |c_2|, |c_3|\}$, use the same notations as in [16], one can check that $\|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(1)}\|_2 = \|\rho_b - \rho_b^{(2)}\|_2 = \|\frac{1}{2}(c_1 z_1 \sigma_1 + c_2 z_2 \sigma_2 + c_3 z_3 \sigma_3)\|_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|c_1 z_1|^2 + |c_2 z_2|^2 + |c_3 z_3|^2}$. It follows that

$$Q(\rho) = \frac{c^2}{4}. \tag{11}$$

That is, $Q(\rho)$ is decided only by the maximal value of $|c_i|$.

B. The Werner state

We consider the $m \otimes m$ Werner state

$$\omega = \frac{2(1-x)}{m(m+1)}\Pi^+ + \frac{2x}{m(m-1)}\Pi^-, \quad x \in [0,1], \tag{12}$$

where $\Pi^+ = \frac{1}{2}(I + F)$ and $\Pi^- = \frac{1}{2}(I - F)$ are projectors onto the symmetric and antisymmetric subspace of $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ respectively, $F = \sum_{i,j} |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes |j'\rangle\langle i'|$ is the swap operator. For any von Neumann measurements $\Pi^a = \{|\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|\}$ on part A, let $\langle i|\psi_k\rangle = a_{ki}$. Then $\omega_b^{(k)} = \frac{m+2x-1}{m^2-1} I_b + \frac{m-2mx-1}{m^2-1}|\gamma_k\rangle\langle\gamma_k|$ with $|\gamma_k\rangle = \sum_i a_{ki}|i'\rangle$ and $p_k = \frac{1}{m}$ for any $1 \le k \le m$. Note that $\omega_b = \frac{1}{m} I_b$. Therefore

$$\|\omega_b - \omega_b^{(k)}\|_2^2 = \frac{(m - 2mx - 1)^2}{(m^2-1)^2},$$

which reveals that

$$Q(\omega) = \frac{(m - 2mx - 1)^2}{(m^2-1)^2}. \tag{13}$$

The equations above show that, for any local von Neumann measurement Π^a and for any element Π_k^a, the distance between the local state and each output state is a fixed constant and the probability of each output state is $\frac{1}{m}$.

By the analytic formula of the quantum discord and the entanglement of formation (EOF) of the Werner state in [17, 18], we can compare Q with QD (D) and EOF (E) (see in Figure 1).

C. The isotropic state

For the $m \otimes m$ isotropic state

$$\varsigma = \frac{1-y}{m^2-1} I_a \otimes I_b + \frac{m^2 y - 1}{m^2 - 1}|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+|, \quad y \in [0,1], \tag{14}$$

where $|\psi^+\rangle$ is the maximally entangled state. One can easily check that

$$Q(\varsigma) = \frac{(m^2 y - 1)^2}{m(m+1)^2(m-1)}. \tag{15}$$

Combine with the analytic formula of quantum discord and EOF for isotropic state in Ref. [17] and [19] respectively, in Figure 2, we compare Q with QD and EOF for the isotropic states.

Note that, similar to the Werner state, for any local von Neumann measurement Π^a and for any element Π^a_k, the distance between the local state and each local output state is a fixed constant and the probability of each output state is $\frac{1}{m}$ as well.

Figure 1 implies that, for the Werner state, the quantity Q is lower than D for two qudits system with $d \geq 3$, is incomparable with D for two qubits system and is incomparable with E for system with any dimension in general. Figure 2 implies that, for the isotropic state, the quantity Q is lower than D and is incomparable with E. That is, not only the nullity of Q is different from D and E, the quantity of Q is incomparable with that of D and E for some mixed sates.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have established a new quantum correlation Q according to local measurement with averaged local distance. The nullity of this quantum correlation is shown to be the set of all product states, namely, any non product state contains quantum correlation. A lower bound for two qubits case is proposed. By comparing with entanglement and QD, we find that the quantity Q is quite different from both QD and EOF. It is remarkable that, by [20], although $Q(\omega) = N(\omega)$ and $Q(\varsigma) = \frac{m}{m-1}N(\varsigma)$, but the nullity of Q and N does not coincide. That is, all theses properties imply that Q is a new kind of quantum correlation. We hope that it maybe used in some quantum scenario based on local von Neumann measurements.

Acknowledgments

Y. Guo is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11301312, Grant No. 11171249) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi (Grant No. 2013021001-1, Grant No. 2012011001-2). B. Li is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11305015), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (Grant No. 20132BAB212010). H. Fan is supported by the '973' program (Grant No. 2010CB922904).

[1] Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., Horodecki, K.: Quantum entanglement. Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009)
[2] Gühne, O., Tóth, G.: Entanglement detection. Phys. Rep. **474**, 1 (2009)
[3] Ollivier, H., Zurek, W.H.: Quantum discord: a measure of the quantumness of correlations. Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 017901 (2001)
[4] Luo, S., Fu, S.: Measurement-induced nonlocality. Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 120401 (2011)
[5] Luo, S.: Using measurement-induced disturbance to characterize correlations as classical or quantum. Phys. Rev. A **77**, 022301 (2008)
[6] Guo, J.-L., Wang, L., Long, G.-L.: Measurement-induced disturbance and thermal negativity in 1D optical lattice chain, Annals of Physics **330**, 192-200 (2013)
[7] Zhou, T., Cui, J., Long, G.-L.: Measure of nonclassical correlation in coherence-vector representation. Phys. Rev. A **85**, 029904 (2012)
[8] Datta, A., Shaji, A., Caves, C.M.: Quantum discord and the power of one qubit. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 050502 (2008)
[9] Su, X.-L.: Applying Gaussian quantum discord to quantum key distribution. Chin. Sci. Bull. **59**(11), 1083-1090 (2014)
[10] Mattle, K., Weinfurter, H., Kwiat, P.G., Zeilinger, A.: Dense coding in experimental quantum communication. Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4656 (1996)
[11] Bennett, C.H., DiVincenzo, D.P., Smolin, J.A., Wootters, W.K.: Mixed-state entanglement and quantum error correction. Phys. Rev. A **54**, 3824 (1996)
[12] Liu, D., Zhao, X., Long, G.-L.: Multiple Entropy Measures for Multi-particle Pure Quantum State. Commun. Theor. Phys. **54**, 825-8 (2010)
[13] Cao, Y., Li, H., Long, G.-L.: Entanglement of linear cluster states in terms of averaged entropies. Chin. Sci. Bull, **58**, 48-52 (2013)
[14] Guo, Y., Hou, J.: Local Channels preserving the states without measurment-induced nonlocality. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 325301 (2013)
[15] Fano, U.: Pairs of two-level systems. Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 855 (1983)
[16] Luo, S.: 2008 Quantum discord for two-qubit systems. Phys. Rev. A **77**, 042303 (2008)
[17] Chitambar, E.: Quantum correlation in high-dimensional states of high symmetry. Phys. Rev. A **86**, 032110 (2012)
[18] Vollbrecht, K.G.H., Werner, R.F.: Entanglement measures under symmetry. Phys. Rev. A **64**, 062307 (2001)
[19] Terhal, B.M., Vollbrecht, K.G.H.: Entanglement of Formation for Isotropic States. Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2625 (2000)
[20] Rana, S., Parashar, P.: Geometric discord and measurement-induced nonlocality for well known bound entangled states. Quantum Inf. Process. **12**, 2523–34 (2013)